g	für Ir	Evaluation Scheme for Tec sche Gesellschaft nternationale mmenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH	hnical Assessment o	f Offe	ers										page 1
Section/Division 3900 Project title Short:		ECO.Georgia Date													
AV							J		Pi	PN: 20.2275.4-007.00/C3A1					
DV Assess	or									IV	Λ.				
7.00000				Weighting in % (2)		Company 1		Company 2		Company 3		Company 4		Company 5	
		Criteria (1)		a)	b)	points (max. 10)	assess- ment (2)x(3) (4)	points (max. 10)	assess- ment (2)x(3) (4)	points (max. 10)	assess- ment (2)x(3) (4)	points (max. 10)	assess- ment (2)x(3) (4)	points (max. 10)	assess- ment (2)x(3) (4)
1.	Experience of the Company			5		(0)	0	(6)	(· /	(0)	(· /	(0)	('/	(0)	(.,
1.1	5 years o	of experience in economy, sociology and/or	environmental projects	3			0								
1.2	Regional	Experience (Georgia)		2			0								
	3 years o	of working experience with governmental and	d/or international organization												
Total 1				5			0								
2. 2.1	Concept - interpr - strateg	ateness of suggested concept and work pla (technical approach/methodical procedures retation of objectives gy (technical concept/alternative concepts) mentation methods (structured by project		16 6 6 4			0 0 0								
2.2	Work and	or subsector) d time schedule ng and evaluation concept		4			0								
2.3 Total 2		ig and evaluation concept		20			0								
3. 3.1 3.2 3.3	Technica Staff Hardware	al Backstopping e (technical equipment) (programmes, cooperation relations)		20			Ţ.								
Total 3				0			0								
4. 5. 5.1	Qualifica Expert 1			0			0								
5.1.1		qualification sional experience and education		7 7			0 0								
5.1.2	Specific o	qualification		8			0								
	- specia	al field (economy,financial management, eco	ology, sociology)	8			0								
	- teachi	ng experience					0								
512	- ability	to work in a team													
5.1.3	Regional	experience / knowledge of country													
5.1.4		c skills (English)		5			0								
Subtot	ai 3.1			20			0								

													page 2
		Weighting			Company 2 Co			ompany 3		Company 4		Company 5	
				in % (2)			. ,		' '		' '		
				Company 1	1								
				points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-	points	assess-
	Criteria			(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment	(max. 10)	ment
	(1)	a)	b)	((2)x(3)	()	(2)x(3)	()	(2)x(3)	((2)x(3)	((2)x(3)
	(1)			(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(4)
5.2	Expert 2			. ,	` ′	` '	. ,	<u> </u>	` ′	,	` ′	` ′	. ,
5.2.1	General qualification	6			0								
	- professional experience and education	6			0								
5.2.2	Specific qualification	14			0								
	- special field (economy, financial management or a similar sector)	7			0								
	- teaching experience	7			0								
	- ability to work in a team												
5.2.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country												
5.2.4	Linguistic skills (english)	5			0								
Subtot		25			0								
5.3	Expert 3												
5.3.1	General qualification	6			0								
	- professional experience	6			0								
5.3.2	Specific qualification	6			0								
	- special field (forestry, nature conservation or environmental protection)	6			0								
	- teaching experience				0								
	- ability to work in a team												
5.3.3	Regional experience / knowledge of country												
5.3.4	Linguistic skills (english)	3			0								
Subtotal 5.3		15											
5.4	Expert 4	•			_								
5.4.1	General qualification	6			0								
- 40	- professional experience	6			0								
5.4.2	Specific qualification	6			0								
	- special field (social science, sociology, political science, or other related discipline	6			0								
	- teaching experience				U								
F 4 0	- ability to work in a team Regional experience / knowledge of country												
5.4.3	Linguistic skills (english)	3			0								
5.4.4 Subtot		15	-		U								
5.4	Composition of the team	0											
Total 5													
Total 3		75			0								
Grand Total		100			0								
place]					
6.	Special advantages / risks (see extra page)					,	1	-		_		-	1
place	e]		_					